

#1



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 4:28:47 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 4:30:26 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:39  
**IP Address:** 66.252.127.105

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	none

#2



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 9:31:30 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 9:34:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:58  
**IP Address:** 199.91.129.5

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below): 25,000
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	I think a lot of progress can be made by controlling invasive species. We need to remember that originally, the Platte was a FLOOD and FAMINE (drought) situation.

#3



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 06, 2017 7:43:00 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 06, 2017 7:45:32 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:32  
**IP Address:** 71.8.220.170

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):  
 unknown

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 While I believe that the plan has protected the economy, it could be stated more explicitly.

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 It could be stated more explicitly.

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 It could be stated more explicitly.

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 It could be stated more explicitly.

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

none

#4



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 10, 2017 8:04:59 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 10, 2017 8:26:34 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:35  
**IP Address:** 208.33.80.52

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To be fully appropriated.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 See #7 below (DF)

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 See #7 below (DF)

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 See #7 below (DF)

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 See #7 (DF)

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

Question 7: The Integrated Management Plan was conceived during a time of severe drought, with storage nearly depleted. No consideration was given to the idea that a combination of rainfall and increased storage capacity might solve the problem. Now, a few short years later, we find that, with normal rainfall, the Platte River system has reset itself. We've experienced a complete and dramatic recovery of the system, with both storage levels and river flows up, and all or nearly all demands on the system are being met. All that remains to complete the intent of the Integrated Management Plan (IMP) is for the DNR to recognize that we've made the jump from over appropriated to

tully appropriated, discontinue the offsets, and devote all possible funds to expanding storage to maintain the fully appropriated condition. Spending the next 20 years trying to return Platte River usage to pre 1996 levels is not a viable option for our grandchildren, who will need even more storage and higher use levels as they face the task of feeding a hungry world. The second increment of the plan should be devoted to acquiring storage, and the further upstream that storage occurs, the better it is for downstream users. We should work with Wyoming on storage. Here's why: The neat thing about water is you can't get rid of it. We grow food with it, and the evaporation and transpiration from that use comes back as rain, which grows more food. The more times we use it as it crosses the country, the more food we can grow. It can even be argued that upstream use is good for downstream users. Average rainfall increases by one inch per year for every 25 miles as we proceed from west to east across the state. (Thanks to Dennis Strauch for this observation!) Where does that extra inch per 25 miles come from? We see the answer as we watch spring thunderstorms build over the melting snowpack in the mountains of Wyoming. As those storms move to the east across Nebraska, they sweep up local moisture and thrust it aloft until it reaches its dew point and produces rain. Cold fronts also move down the Platte from the Northwest, "milking" rain from local moisture along the way. The rain falls somewhere downwind (often downstream) from where it was swept up by the frontal system. If more local moisture is available, more rain can be produced. New storage in Wyoming will result in evaporation and transpiration from the use of that water in Wyoming. Seepage from the storage will augment river flows, and Nebraska will receive a re-timed benefit in the form of rain and increased river flows. The agreement with Wyoming should allow for releases to correct timing problems with river flows, but the point of the Wyoming dam is that future water projects must begin as far upstream as possible, and then use and reuse the water as it crosses the country. We can't continue to send thousands of acre feet of water downstream without first using it upstream. We should also pursue all other options for creating storage -- existing dam improvements and silt removal, for example. Perhaps use the silt to construct "feeder" dams in canyons above the existing storage. "Duck ponds" along irrigation ditches and storage on private property could be inexpensive options if regulatory obstacles can be overcome. Dave Fisher, BS Ag Engineering

---

#5



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 10, 2017 10:51:57 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 10, 2017 10:53:39 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:41  
**IP Address:** 216.75.116.4

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below): 50,000 acre-feet for the basin as a whole
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	No
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	No
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	No
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	No
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#6



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 11, 2017 10:52:06 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 11, 2017 11:06:38 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:14:31  
**IP Address:** 68.189.234.202

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#7



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 12, 2017 1:00:41 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 12, 2017 1:05:03 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:21  
**IP Address:** 204.58.233.6

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below): 10,000 acre feet annually
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well. do not know
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well. do not know
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	pumping ground water into the rivers

#8



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, February 06, 2017 1:41:47 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, February 06, 2017 1:56:26 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:14:38  
**IP Address:** 192.132.206.4

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):  
 This really cannot be answered until we know the fully appropriated value, where each NRD is in putting water back to the stream and where they will be in putting water back to the stream at the end of the first increment.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

No,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 It is important the the goals and objectives for offsetting depletions to the river be measured by actual projects that are putting water into the river. This question related to economic viability I believe is not being achieved as some goals might not be met due to credits for offsets being taken for planned projects(J-2 for example). The measurement for the goal must be for water to the river, added to the river.

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 I believe participants thought this to be the case when the first increment was implemented. An argument could be made that until offsets to CPNRD and NGPC Instream Flow appropriations are achieved that the environmental health is not being protected as those flows are the minimum necessary. This is somewhat undefined so a definitive answer is difficult.

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

The statutes call for offsets to occur based on timing and location. There is a definite need to accomplish this requirement to the benefit of those providing an offset and those who may benefit from the offset.

---

#9



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, February 24, 2017 10:41:46 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, February 24, 2017 10:48:24 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:37  
**IP Address:** 71.8.214.2

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	The current plan seems to me working by the numbers. Continue what we've started in our current plan. Strive to achieve fully appropriated status even with the variables within each year ( excess flows, shortfalls, drought ect)

#10



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, March 01, 2017 11:52:49 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, March 01, 2017 12:13:40 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:51  
**IP Address:** 24.223.106.181

PAGE 1

<p><b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b></p>	<p>To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.</p>
<p><b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b></p>	<p>The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):                  CPNRD has met or exceeded any proposed offsets.                  What do we work towards now?</p>
<p><b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>No,                  If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group?                  Please describe how they would be measured as well.                  The Plan does not attempt to measure and or consider the economic and social impact of a goal of supplying surface water for questionable use and appropriations.</p>
<p><b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>No,                  If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group?                  Please describe how they would be measured as well.                  providing surface appropriations for non beneficial uses does not really address the needs of the citizens of the basin.</p>
<p><b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b></p>	<p>an accounting for surface water appropriations and there application</p>

#11



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 11:47:02 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 11:51:27 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:25  
**IP Address:** 162.219.195.90

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	1. Hydropower, 2) What surface water irrigators have been harmed or shorted, 3) Defining Fully Appropriated, and 4) Economic Analysis

#12



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 12:56:34 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 1:00:05 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:30  
**IP Address:** 216.75.118.197

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

check valves on wells

#13



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 6:10:58 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, March 02, 2017 6:14:39 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:40  
**IP Address:** 75.135.26.8

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

#14



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 9:51:44 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 9:52:37 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:53  
**IP Address:** 184.6.79.91

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

#15



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 9:54:16 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 9:56:47 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:30  
**IP Address:** 184.6.79.91

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#16



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 10:01:07 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 10:10:07 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:59  
**IP Address:** 74.51.140.65

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	It appears there are adequate flows , if we could reserve some flows for dry cycles.

#17



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 10:31:51 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 11:12:03 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:40:12  
**IP Address:** 207.5.122.6

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

With better water management the Platte River Basin would not be over appropriated.

#18




**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 10:32:09 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 11:24:32 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:52:23  
**IP Address:** 199.123.2.223

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	Better management of the existing water in the basin. With better management, the Platte River could be fully appropriated without any additional water to the river.

#19




**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 1:39:23 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 1:53:02 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:13:38  
**IP Address:** 24.223.105.34

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below): 120,000
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

#20



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, March 03, 2017 6:29:40 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, March 03, 2017 6:31:18 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:37  
**IP Address:** 66.252.127.105

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done and offset the growth in total depletions over the next increment.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

#21



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, March 05, 2017 4:18:28 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, March 05, 2017 4:20:51 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:22  
**IP Address:** 65.161.180.15

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

I do not believe we need to make additional progress.

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

#22



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, March 05, 2017 8:49:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, March 05, 2017 8:53:04 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:29  
**IP Address:** 184.6.63.184

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	I do not believe we need to make additional progress.
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	Hydro electric

#23



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, March 06, 2017 6:18:06 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, March 06, 2017 6:22:08 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:02  
**IP Address:** 66.146.251.157

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To be fully appropriated.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):  
 150,000?? we do not have the studies complete to determine the exact amount

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

No,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 There are no goals needed. The question is not appropriate and will cause confusion.

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

No,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 There are no goals needed. The question is not appropriate and will cause confusion.

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

No,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 There are no goals needed. The question is not appropriate and will cause confusion.

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

No,  
 If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.  
 There are no goals needed. The question is not appropriate and will cause confusion.

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

If we achieve fully appropriated, then we will achieve the water quantity goals

---

#24



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 1:45:02 PM

**Last Modified:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 1:47:19 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:02:16

**IP Address:** 97.72.183.124

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):  
100,000 - 150,000 acre feet

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

Not sure at this time.

#25



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 3:23:29 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, March 07, 2017 3:41:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:37  
**IP Address:** 164.119.86.122

PAGE 1

<p><b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b></p>	<p>To be fully appropriated.</p>
<p><b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b></p>	<p>The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below):                  Another 33,000 - 110,000 A-ft of depletion offsets until fully appropriated status is determined.</p>
<p><b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>No,                  If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.                  Some positive changes have occurred, but dampening of pulse events and lack of year-round instream flow protection should be incorporated especially before diverting water to other basins for their needs.</p>
<p><b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p><b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b></p>	<p>No,                  If you answered 'no' what additional goals and objectives should be considered by the group? Please describe how they would be measured as well.                  A need exists to manage for natural river functions that incorporate channel maintenance.</p>
<p><b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b></p>	<p>Work carefully with PRRIP, NGPC and FWS programs to ensure that sustainability includes fish, wildlife, and park land resources.</p>

#26



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, March 08, 2017 12:16:49 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, March 08, 2017 12:17:35 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:46  
**IP Address:** 164.119.100.218

PAGE 1

**Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?**

To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.

**Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?**

Yes

**Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?**

In addition to the goals of continuing to make progress toward fully appropriated, staying in compliance with interstate agreements, and offsetting new depletions, the second increment basin-wide plan should also address taking advantage of surplus flows, via conjunctive management, when they occur in the Basin.

#27



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link - Manual Entry 1 (Web Link)

**Started:** Wednesday, March 08, 2017 8:41:06 PM

**Last Modified:** Wednesday, March 08, 2017 8:45:42 PM

**Time Spent:** 00:04:35

**IP Address:** 174.29.162.244

PAGE 1

<b>Q1: What should the overall intent of the Second Increment Plan be?</b>	To maintain what we have already done AND make more progress towards fully appropriated conditions.
<b>Q2: The benefit of activities undertaken during the first increment to offset post-1997 use depletions are estimated to be in the range of 33,000-110,000 acre-feet annually. What is a reasonable target for additional progress during the second increment?</b>	The target for the second increment should be (indicate in acre-feet below): 50,000 AF
<b>Q3: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the economic viability of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q4: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the social and environmental health of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q5: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the safety of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q6: Does the first increment plan appropriately address the call to maintain the welfare of the river basin?</b>	Yes
<b>Q7: In your own words, what other water quantity issues need to be addressed in the second increment of the plan?</b>	Maintain the level of post 1997 depletions and work toward returning to full appropriated levels while maintaining or improving the quality of water in the stream.